



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.         | CONFIRMATION NO.       |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| 10/520,054   | 04/25/2005  | Yoram Eshel          | P-9960-US                   | 9850                   |
| 56639 7590 10/03/2007<br>EITAN MEHULAL LAW GROUP<br>116 JOHN ST,<br>SUITE 1201<br>NEW YORK, NY 10038 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>LAMPRECHT, JOEL |                        |
|  |             |                      | ART UNIT<br>3737            | PAPER NUMBER           |
|  |             |                      | MAIL DATE<br>10/03/2007     | DELIVERY MODE<br>PAPER |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

88

|                          |                        |                     |  |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |  |
|                          | 10/520,054             | ESHEL ET AL.        |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |  |
|                          | Joel M. Lamprecht      | 3737                |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Joel M. Lamprecht. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Allan C. Entis. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: BA.


Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
BRIAN L. CASLER  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
ART UNIT 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant and Examiner discussed at length 10/520054, 10/021238, and 10/250955 including the requirements to file terminal disclaimers and the impact of pending continuation of case 10/250955 on the other two copending applications. Examiner and Applicant agreed terminal disclaimers are required for 10/520054 and 10/021238 and once the continuation of the abandoned 10/250955 is assigned to Examiner, similar terminal disclaimers will be filed to avoid any double patenting issues.